



## Bryan S. Conley

### Shareholder

617.646.8558

[Bryan.Conley@WolfGreenfield.com](mailto:Bryan.Conley@WolfGreenfield.com)

Bryan Conley focuses his practice on intellectual property matters at the agency, trial and appellate levels.

Prior to joining Wolf Greenfield, Bryan served as Counsel in the IP litigation group at WilmerHale, where he represented clients in multifaceted cases involving a variety of technologies, including semiconductors, smartphones, cellular and wireless communications, high speed data transceivers, pharmaceuticals, speakers and user interfaces. His practice encompassed all facets of litigation strategy and procedure, including pre-suit investigation, pleadings, discovery, depositions, motion practice, licensing, settlement, alternative dispute resolution, related post-grant proceedings, trials, injunction proceedings and appeals. He has experience with jury and bench trials in state courts, Federal Courts, Section 337 matters before the US International Trade Commission, and the Patent Trial and Appeal Board.

Bryan is committed to pro bono work. His pro bono work includes representing a death row inmate in post-conviction Rule 32 proceedings before the Alabama Circuit Court, which resulted in a sentence reduction from a death sentence to life in prison without parole. Bryan also participated in the Quincy Bar Advocates program, in which he acted as a duty attorney for the day at Quincy District Court and served as court-appointed counsel for indigent defendants.

While in law school, Bryan served as a student attorney with the Suffolk Defenders Clinical Program, where he represented indigent clients in a range of criminal proceedings in district court. In addition to his work with the Suffolk Defenders, Bryan was a judicial intern for the Honorable Scott L. Kafker of the Massachusetts Appeals Court. He was a note editor of the Suffolk University Law Review and captain of the 2006 National Constitutional Law Moot Court national championship team.

### Education

Boston College, BA

Suffolk University Law School, JD, *summa cum laude*

### Practice Groups

Litigation

## Experience

- Represented defendant, a leading U.S. computer, mobile device and media player company, in a single-patent litigation related to memory disambiguation in out-of-order execution microprocessors before the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin (*Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation v. Apple Inc.*, Case No. 14-cv-062-WMC (W.D. Wisc.)).
- Represented defendant, a leading U.S. computer, mobile device and media player company, in a two-patent litigation related to speaker technology before the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (*THX, Ltd. v. Apple Inc.*, Case No. 13-cv-01161-HSG (N.D. Cal.)); in related IPR proceedings (No. IPR2014-235) and subsequent appeal (Nos. 2015-2038, -2039).
- Represented defendant, a leading U.S. processor company, in litigation involving several patents related to plasma processing before the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts; resulted in stay pending IPR and subsequent settlement (*Zond, Inc. v. Intel Corp.*, Case No. 13-cv-11570-RGS (D. Mass.)).
- Represented respondents, leading U.S. processor and computer companies, in a Section 337 investigation involving six patents before the International Trade Commission; resulted in favorable Initial and Commission Determinations of no violation (ITC Inv. No. 337-TA-781); Commission decision of no violation upheld on appeal (No. 13-1340).
- Represented defendant, a leading U.S. computer, mobile device and media player company, in a multi-patent litigation related to cellular technology before the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas (*Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L., Apple Inc.*, Case No. 12-cv-100-JRG-JDL (E.D. Tex.)).
- Represented plaintiff, a leading semiconductor company, in litigation involving several patents related to high-speed networking devices used in data centers before the U.S. District Court of the Central District of California; resulted in injunction against competitor following favorable jury trial (*Broadcom Corp. v. Emulex Corp.*, Case No. 09-1058-JVS-AN (C.D. Cal.)) and successful appeal (No. 12-1309).

- Represented defendant/respondent, a leading computer, mobile device and media player company, in Section 337 investigations involving numerous patents before the International Trade Commission and in litigation before the U.S. District Court of the District of Delaware; resulted in determination of no violation and subsequent settlement (*Nokia Corp. v. Apple Inc.*, Case No. 09-cv-00791-GMS (D. Del.), ITC Inv. Nos. 337-TA-701, 337-TA-771).
- Represented defendant, a leading U.S. processor company, in a single-patent litigation related to memory disambiguation in out-of-order execution microprocessors before the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin (*Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation v. Intel Corp.*, Case No. 08-cv-078-BBC (W.D. Wisc.)).
- Represented plaintiff, a leading multinational pharmaceutical company, in ANDA litigation involving patent related to anticoagulant before the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York; resulted in enjoinder of defendants' ANDA approval following favorable bench trial (*Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation et al v. Barr Laboratories, Inc.*, Case No. 1:07-cv-11614-JGK (S.D.N.Y.)).
- Represented plaintiff, a leading U.S. multinational consumer goods company, in trademark and unfair competition litigation involving paper towel products (*The Proctor & Gamble Company v. Georgia-Pacific Consumer Products LP*, Case No. 09-cv-318 (S.D. Ohio)).
- Represented defendant, a leading provider of laser treatment systems, in a complex commercial litigation involving fraud, negligent misrepresentation, civil conspiracy, and various state consumer protection and franchise act claims before the U.S. District Court of the District of Minnesota; resulted in successful motion to dismiss, and subsequent termination (*Ronald Berglund et al. v. Cynosure, Inc.*, Case No. 06-3901 ADM (D. Minn.)).
- Represented petitioner, a death row inmate, in post-conviction Rule 32 proceeding before the Alabama Circuit Court; resulted in reduction of sentence from a death sentence to life in prison without parole (*John Lionel Neal, Jr. v. State of Alabama*, Case No. CC-87-520.60).

## Activities

Bryan is a member of the Boston Bar Association (BBA) and a member of the Boston Bar Foundation (BBF) Society of Fellows, and has held leadership positions in both organizations. As a member of the BBF Young Lawyers Advisory Committee, Bryan previously served on the BBF Junior Fellows Committee. Bryan also served on the BBA's Criminal Law Section Steering Committee and was co-chair of the Public Policy Subcommittee. He was also selected by the BBA as a member of the BBA's Public Interest Leadership Program (PILP), which recognizes and honors newer lawyers who have demonstrated leadership in their community by engaging in public service, pro bono and organized bar activities.

## Recognition

- Selected by the Boston Bar Association (BBA) as a member of the BBA's Public Interest Leadership Program (PILP) for the 2007-2008 year
- Recipient of WilmerHale's 2008 Volunteer Appreciation Award
- J. Braxton Craven Constitutional Law Moot Court Competition, 2006 National Champion